

CONTENTS

PART 2.

- (a) The City of Canterbury Council's DA information process.
- (b) Tasker Park - Council failed to notify resident/ratepayers of additional lighting - four light poles plus thirteen light masts.
- (c) Resident (of 69 years) sent a registered letter of complaint dated 29 January, 2013, to the Major Projects Assessment, Department of Planning. Up to 1 September, 2013, he has received no response.
- (d) Canterbury Council advertises a reclassification of land - at 3A Phillips Avenue, Canterbury, a non-existent address in the Canterbury-Bankstown Express - 15 May, 2012.
- (e) Canterbury Council's DA for Subdivision of Land - before the City Development Committee 12 July, 2012.
- (f) Canterbury Council advertises a modification to delete condition of consent for subdivision relating to protection of trees in Lot 1. Once again, Council is using an unknown address. 29/5/2013.
- (g) Photos of Tasker Park Subdivision.
- (h) The Submission presented to the Independent Hearing and Assessment Panel (IHAP) by Phil Tzavellas, the elected spokesman by local resident/ratepayers.
- (i) Photos exposing the lies of Council Bureaucrats and the developer regarding their suggestion that rear parking access will be via a quiet street, Phillips Avenue.

An email by residents Lynette and Ron Hammonds to Greens Councillor, Linda Eisler.
- (k) Linda Eisler's response to the Hammonds.
- (l) A response by Neil McGaffin, Executive Director, Planning Operations, to Michael Johnston on behalf of the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure, Brad Hazzard MP.
- (m) Notice of Panel Meeting dated 30 July, 2012.
- (n) Phil Tzavellas, the elected spokesman for the local resident/ratepayers, presented a submission on their behalf
- (o) Photos re lack of traffic infrastructure.

48 City of Canterbury

City of Cultural Diversity

NOTIFYING ON A DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION WHAT IS THE PROCESS?

INFORMATION

Information for applicants and residents who are likely to be affected by the proposal.

10*

4-This is determined by a site assessment of the local area.

Q Can I obtain more information about the proposal?

A Yes. Large scale site plans are available for inspection at the times and places specified in the letter you received.

Q How do I make a submission?

A Your submission should be in writing and addressed to the General Manager. It should include the following-

- The Development Application Number and the address of the property.
- Clearly state the reasons why you object to, or support the development.

Q Do I have to make a submission?

A No. You should decide whether or not the proposal would affect you. This may involve some discussion with the Council officer handling the application. If you decide the proposal does not affect you, there is no need to make a submission.

Q What will happen to my submission?

A Your submission, along with any others received, will be considered as part of our assessment. The assessment of an application is in accordance with our statutory obligations. This includes matters such as overshadowing, privacy, noise, views, traffic and building design.

Your submission may be available to view by the applicant and other members of the public.

Will the applicant or anyone else receive a copy of my submission?

A Applicants are frequently advised of issues raised in submissions as this sometimes leads to a redesign of the development. Council is also subject to the Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009. This means that your submission and the details within it may be available for viewing by any member of the public, including the applicant.

Q Should I sign a petition?

A You may find that someone has started a petition to object to a development proposal. Someone may also ask you to sign a *pro forma* letter. All petitions and letters received will be considered during the assessment of the proposal. However, you may wish to submit an individual letter about how the proposal will affect you.

**CANTERBURY CITY COUNCIL REPEATED THEIR FAILURE
TO NOTIFY AFFECTED RESIDENTS IN RELATION TO
THEIR INSTALLATION OF FOUR LIGHT POLES
CARRYING AN EXTRA THIRTEEN VERY BRIGHT LIGHT MASTS**

(Attached)

Registered post No. 530444672018 dated 29/01/13.

A letter sent by Phil Tzavellas on January 29, 2013 to Major Projects Assessment, Department of Planning. Up to and including Friday, August 2nd, 2013, Phil Tzavellas has received no response to his concerns.

On 20/12/2012 Neil McGaffin, Executive Director, Planning Operations, replied to Mr. Johnston on behalf of the N. S.W. Planning Minister, the Hon. Brad Hazzard MP (attached).

May we suggest you return to **the letter sent by Phil Tzavellas to the Major** Projects Assessment, Department of Planning, on January 29th, 2013.

If the N.S.W. Government has no powers to control fraudulent acts by Councilors and Council staff, then maybe Australia would be better off if State Governments were abolished.

Canterbury-Bankstown Express

Tuesday, May 28th, 2013

DA-162/2012/A, 3A Phillips Avenue, Canterbury. Modification to delete condition of consent for subdivision relating to protection of trees in lot 1. Date of decision: 9/05/2013.

CANTERBURY CITY COUNCIL APPROVE FRAUDULENT DAs BY STEALTH WITH THE SUPPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT HEARING AND ASSESSMENT PANEL (IHAP)

Question 1: Why have I been notified of the development application?

Answer: Landowners and residents who are likely to be affected by the proposal are notified. This is determined by a site assessment of the local area. (*Canterbury City Council information sheet*)

RECLASSIFICATION OF LAND

Canterbury-Bankstown Express - Page 10
Thesday, May 15th, 2012

The **draft** Canterbury Local Environmental Plan (LEP) is currently on exhibition from 29th March to 11th May, 2012. Part of this plan is to reclassify two parcels of land located at 3A Phillips Avenue, Canterbury.

Resident/ratepayers, who are living within 200 metres of the Canterbury City Council's application to subdivide land known as Tasker Park, have no doubt that Canterbury City Council has fraudulently advertised a non-existent address for their DA, 3A Phillips Avenue, Canterbury. This **is planning by stealth. The unknown address appears on every page of the City Development Committee's plans.**

MEETING DATES

MAP: Monday, 4th June, at 6 p.m.

Standing Committee: Thursday, 14th June, at 7.30 p.m.

Council: Thursday, 24th May, at 7.30 p.m. (*Jim Montague Pal General Manager*)

1

The investigators told us that this is not the first time IHAP has erred in their approval decisions. On June 29, 2010 Liverpool City Council approved the construction of the Islamic School Malek Fand in the middle of a Hoxton Park housing estate on the unanimous approval of this DA by IHAP. In approving the DA, IHAP said, "the proposal was considered to be acceptable, in the context of the proposed improvements to the local and regional road network." Assessment of the application has revealed that the development will not adversely impact on the safety and efficiency of the local and regional road network" the report said.

The Hoxton Park Residents Action Group appealed this decision to the Land and Environment Court, who ruled the DA was invalid.

The investigators are extremely concerned with the Canterbury City Council's DA-16212012 as the Applicant for Subdivision of Land, 3A Phillips Avenue, which is situated at the Canterbury Road end of Tasker Park. It must be pointed out that not one of the residents within 200 metres of this development application received notification of this Subdivision. And, in addition, not one of the residents living in the Canterbury Road end of Phillips Avenue, which includes fifteen ratepayers who have lived in their place of abode for more than 40 years were unaware that there was a 3A Phillips Avenue, and one of the residents is our former postman.

The investigators have assured us that their investigations will be ongoing, as they are confident that Canterbury City Council is approving planning by stealth. Furthermore, they are worried about the possibility that the representatives of IHAP may well have a conflict of interest.

In fact, they have been investigating the O'Farrell Government for the past four months, and have assured us that, when they have completed their investigations, the lobby group will name and shame those guilty of fraudulent behaviour on their website.

Should you have any doubts about the ability of the lobby group's investigators, you should visit the home page of their website www.voicesifthepeoplelobbygroup.com

Index: 2013-Feb.the latest updates

One must remember, Council has a "duty of care" to resident/ratepayers. Discover how elected members of Federal and State Parliament perverted the course of justice and legislated against the Commonwealth Constitution and Federal Laws.

Learn how the "Messiah" of the Australian working class, Bob Hawke, introduced the Tariff Classification Orders Legislation, which cost tens of thousands of our nation's workers, their jobs.

Kind regards,

(signed) Phil Tzavellas

COPY

Phil Tzavellas
14 Phillips Avenue,
Canterbury, 2193

January 29, 2013

Major Projects Assessment,
Department of Planning,
G.P.O. Box 39,
SYDNEY, 2001

Dear Sir,

CLEMTON PARK VILLAGE (former Sunbeam Factory Site)
Application No. MP 07 0106 MOD4

I have been elected to speak on behalf of the Canterbury Residents' Action Group in explaining our concerns regarding ongoing development approvals by the Independent Hearing and Assessment Panel (IHAP) and Canterbury City Council. I was advised to register our submission through Australia Post.

Our first concern with the Clemton Park Village (the former Sunbeam Factory Site) is that the Canterbury City Council Labor Councillors failed to declare a \$11,000 political donation by the developer, which only became public knowledge when I exposed the donation through the Canterbury-Bankstown Express. On Thursday, March 11, 2011 at a Canterbury City Council meeting, five Labor Councillors - Mayor Robert Furolo, Bill Kritharas, Mark Adler, Padwa Kebbe and Karl Saleh - finally declared a pecuniary interest because they accepted an \$11,000 donation from developers Parkview Sydney Developments in 2008.

The Clemton Park Village Development was prepared by Planning Workshop Australia under the auspices of their Director, Sue Francis. Planning and Infrastructure NSW, in tandem with the Independent Hearing and Assessment Panel (IHAP), have instituted a major conflict of interest by allowing Ms Sue Francis to be a member of IHAP, which adjudicated on at least two DAs involving Canterbury City Council.

Fortunately for us, several of our resident/ratepayers approached representatives of Australia's biggest lobby group, Voice of the People, about our concerns. They referred our complaints to their team of investigators, who comprise former Law Enforcement Customs Officer and former Federal and State Police Officers.

The investigators told us, because the major concerns of our submission highlighted the lack of infrastructure for Canterbury Road and its surrounds, by allowing Ms Sue Francis to be part of IHAP regarding a mixed use development containing 104 residential units and one commercial tenancy at 359-365 Beamish Street and 33-35 Unara Street, Campsie, as well as 2012SYE-Canterbury-592/2011 - Demolition of existing structures and construction of three residential apartment buildings containing 254 dwellings and associated basement car park - 15-18 Charles Street, Canterbury, leaves no doubt that Ms Sue Francis had a conflict of interest

Mr Michael Johnston
57 Rose Drive
MOUNT ANNAN NSW 2567

12/19696

Dear Mr Johnston

Thank you for your letter to the Hon Brad Hazzard MP, Minister for Planning and Infrastructure, concerning recommendations made by the Canterbury Independent Hearin^o and Assessment Panel (the IHAP) for the approval of a development proposal at 3A Phillip Avenue, Canterbury. The Minister has asked me to reply on his behalf.

I am advised the Canterbury IHAP was established to independently and objectively assess development proposals and provide independent advice to Canterbury Council. Professional members of the IHAP are required to have skills in a number of fields including law, urban design and town planning. Further information on the operation of the IHAP is available on Council's website at www.canterbury.nsw.gov.au

I appreciate your concerns about the legality of the IHAP's actions in relation to the Phillip Avenue subdivision. However, the IHAP was constituted by Council and the Minister has no role in its administration. I encourage you to discuss your concerns with Council.

As you feel Council and IHAP have acted improperly, you may wish to contact the NSW Ombudsman at www.ombo.nsw.00v.au or the Independent Commission Against Corruption at www.icac.nsw.gov.au

A review of the NSW Planning System is underway and a Green Paper has been released outlining major changes in key areas of the NSW planning system. Following community and industry feedback, a White Paper is expected to be released providing more detail on how the new system will be implemented. If you would like more information about the reforms, please visit the following website link www.haveyoursay.nsw.00v.au/newplanninasystem

I trust this advice is of assistance to you.

Your sincerely



Neil Gaffin
Executive Director
Planning Operations

TASKER PARK

Local residents/ratepayers, Lynette and Ron Hammonds, emailed The Greens Councillor, Linda Eisler, on Friday, July 13th, 2012 at 8.48 p.m. Ms. Eisler responded on Tuesday, July 17th, 2012 at 5.20 p.m., saying she would ask the Director to explain the changes.

Ms. Eisler sent the Director's response on Wednesday, August 1st, at 10.19 a.m. (attached)

We find the last sentence very suspicious. "One of the Directors has responded to my email. I'll have to push the other. I have to admit I supported this proposal as did, I believe, all the Councillors, I can't =member if lb= was a tree-off,"

Excuse us, what does Ms. Eisler mean by trade-off? Does this mean that Councils such as Canterbury City do secret deals with developers on a regular basis?

Tasker Park

Lynette Hammonds <lynharmmunds@gmail.com>
To: linda_eislen@ccc.nsw.gov.au

Fri, Jul 13, 2012 at 8:48 PM

Hi Linda,

It was nice to meet you lastnight, and to see that you were interested in the matter of Tasker Park at Canterbury.

In the late 1920's 1 early 30's Mr. Tasker, then an alderman on Canterbury Municipal Council, together with his wife, had the parkland dedicated as a sports area for the exclusive use of women. Mrs. Tasker was President of the newly-constructed Canterbury Women's Bowling Club, and as a small child I accompanied my grandmother, Mrs. Nina Burling, each Saturday afternoon and watched the ladies play bowls. So I grew up, being familiar with the rules and finer points of the game.

The Canterbury Marching Girls regularly used Tasker Park for marching practice, at a time when this activity was just catching on in Australia. One of our friends, Beverley Gately, was extremely proficient at this activity.

Other women's activities which were common for the next 80 years were basketball, hockey, cricket and athletics, and any boy or man who ventured onto the park to bounce a ball or engage in sport was quickly chased off by Council Rangers.

Several years later, Canterbury Swimming Pool was opened, with males and females taking part in swimming activities, followed much later by the Canterbury Skating Rink_

Ladies' hockey has always made good use of the park, with four hockey fields in constant use, and we used to enjoy sitting and watching them. However, they now have to travel all the way to Lidcombe for hockey practice and games. Male sports has always been well catered for, but there is definitely something wrong when the ladies are chased off Tacker Park, their own dedicated sports area, which they have every right to expect to use both now and in the future.

Canterbury Council is neglecting the interests of women in their City. Any assistance you can offer to remedy this situation would be more than welcome by the residents, both male and female, and return the park to its original intended use.

At the other end of Tasker Park, near Canterbury Road, it seems Council is taking yet another slice of parkland for a car park. They certainly aren't looking after the residents' interests!

Best regards,
Lynette & Ron Hammonds
(phone 9591-5207)

Linda 8sier <linda.eisler@ccc.nsw.gov.au>
To: Lynette Hammonds <lynhammonds@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 17, 2012 at 5:20 PM

Thanks Lynette and Ron,

i will ask the Director to explain the changes.

Linda

From: Lynette Hammonds [mailto:lynhammonds@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, 13 July 2012 8:49 PM
To: linda,eislerOccc.nsw.gov.au
Subject: Tasker Park

[Quoted text hidden]

Linda Eisler <linda.eisler@ccc.nsw.gov.au>
To: Lynette Hammonds <lynhammonds@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 1, 2012 at 10:19 AM

Dear Lynette and Ron,

The following is the information I was given.

Council adopted DCP 46 in March 2009 which deals with the development of 225-249 Canterbury Road and encourages residential flat buildings of 4-5 storeys. This adjoins Tasker Park at its southern side.

The development of this precinct cannot occur without alternative access to Canterbury Road. Access to and from Canterbury Road is undesirable in this location and for this reason, the DCP required the creation of a laneway to enable rear access to future development. As part of the creation of this laneway, there is the identification of parking along its length which is still the subject of design work.

One of the Directors has responded to my email. I'll have to push the other. I have to admit I supported this proposal as did, I believe, all the other Councillors. I can't remember if there *was* a trade off.

Linda

Front Lynette Hammonds [mailto:lynhammonds@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, 13 July 2012 8:49 PM
To: linda.eisler@ccc.nsw.gov.au
Subject: Tasker Park

Hi Linda,

The Canterbury residents' elected spokesman, Phil Tzavellas, presented the following submission to IHAP at the Canterbury City Council Chambers, Beamish Street, Campsie. (Photos attached)

- 1) Billboard advertising that 50% of the 22 apartments have been sold before the DA has been approved.
- 2) On this same board, it states "rear street access" and "basement parking."
- 3) On the Canterbury Road side of the development, an advertising banner hangs on the front fence denoting they were "now selling off the plan."
- 4) On the same banner, the advertisement reads "quiet rear street access."
- 5) This is repeated on the Tasker Park end of the development, once again this misleading advertisement prior to the DA being approved. It is worth noting that Council Officers appear to have ignored the effects that the approval of any development within a radius of two kilometres of Canterbury Road, Wonga Street, Ward Avenue and Phillips Avenue will have on the surrounding area.
- 6) I want to bring to the panel's attention that Phillips Avenue is approximately 500 metres in length. On the Tasker Park side of the street there are seven houses, Tasker Park has three soccer fields, two cricket pitches, one hockey field and one basketball court. In addition, there is an Aquatic Centre plus a gymnasium, and on the corner of Phillips Avenue and Wairoa Street is the Olympic Ice Rink; whilst on the corner of Canterbury Road and Phillips Avenue there is a well-patronised brothel.
- 7) The following photos show the cars parked on Phillips Avenue and Ward Avenue on a regular sports or training day. So much for the applicants' misleading advertisements that "Phillips Avenue will be a QUIET REAR STREET ACCESS."
- 8) It appears Council is ignoring road infrastructure re traffic chaos occurring during morning and afternoon peak hours on Canterbury Road and Wonga Street. We have formed this opinion after learning that Council is considering 3 DAs in Charles Street and another in Broughton Street, in which the DAs have asked to construct a total of 397 home units within 500 metres of the 237-239 Canterbury Road development.
- 9) Canterbury Road runs two lanes in both directions, but frequently reverts to one lane from dawn to dusk due to regular bus services picking up and dropping off passengers. These services include the 444 and 445, **which** departs South Parade, Campsie, for Balmain Wharf via Beamish Street and Canterbury Road. The 478 terminates at Canterbury station, whilst the 491 departs from the same bus stop for the Five Dock shopping centre. The 428 departs for Circular Quay from the corner of Broughton Street and Canterbury Road, as does the 487 for Bankstown Hospital, whilst school specials depart between 7 a.m. and 9 a.m. and 3 p.m. to 4.30 p.m. In addition the 491 departs from Jeffrey Street to its destination Hurstville via Canterbury Road.
- 10) Canterbury Town Centre will never return to its halcyon days whilst parking areas are at a minimum. And yet, with the limited half-hour parking on the shopping side of the Canterbury Town Centre, and one hour parking on the other side between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m., once again

creates single lane traffic and more congestion If that's not bad enough you should see the domino effect = Canterbury Road and its tributaries when there are traffic accidents; it becomes the motorist's worst nightmare. The rail transport to the city during peak hours bears no good tidings. In fact, you would have a better chance of winning Lotto than finding a vacant seat on what can only be described in the warmer months as a sweaty packed cattle train.

In closing, *Canterbury City Council was seeking* permission to subdivide the existing allotment known as 3A Phillips Avenue into two allotments. The subdivision is required to allow the extension of Clunes Lane and provision of a car park. This will provide access to properties fronting Canterbury Road. Unfortunately for your panel, you recommended that this submission DA162/2012 be approved in accordance with the recommendation of the Director City Planning, subject to two conditions.

You will see this subdivision is in breach of the Australian Constitution, and is a development irregularity which is not dissimilar to Liverpool City Council's "Oasis" development in which the Can State Labor Government sacked the George Pachullo's Labor-controlled Council. In fact, for aiding and abetting these offences, under Section 5 of the Federal Crimes Act 1914 "any person aids or abets Councils or procedures, or by an act or omission is in any way directly or indirectly knowingly concerned in, or party to, the commission of any offence against the Commonwealth, whether passed before or after the commencement of this act, shall be deemed to have committed that offence, and shall be punished accordingly.

By the way, Council failed to notify the tenants in 5, 7, 9, 11 and 13 Phillips Avenue of the 3A Phillips Avenue subdivision of Tasker Park, who will be affected by the proposal.

Look at Q and A on the City of Canterbury Council's information *material* on a Development Application Process.

7 3A PHILLIPS AVENUE, CANTERBURY; SUBDIVISION OF LAND

FILE NO: 699/3AD
 REPORT BY: DIRECTOR CITY PLANNING
 WARD: CENTRAL

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| D/A No: | DA-162/2012 |
| Applicant: | Canterbury City Council |
| Owner: | As Above |
| Zoning: | Public Open Space 6(a) under Canterbury Local Environmental Plan No 148 (Campsie Precinct) |
| Application Date: | 8 May 2012 |

Summary:

- It is proposed to subdivide the subject site into two allotments to allow for the extension/widening of Cluries Lane and provision of a car park.
- The subject site is zoned Public Open Space 6(a) under the provisions of the Canterbury Local Environmental Plan 148 (Campsie Precinct). The proposed development is permissible subject to Council's consent.
- The proposal has been assessed against our relevant codes and policies, including Development Control Plan 46 — 225-249 Canterbury Road, Canterbury and found to comply with our requirements.
- The Director City Planning has recommended the application be approved subject to conditions.
- The Independent Hearing and Assessment Panel has recommended the application be approved in accordance with the Director City Planning's recommendation, subject to amendments.

City Plan and Budget Implications:

This report has no implications for the Budget. The assessment of the application supports our City Plan long term goal of Balanced Urban Development.

Report:**Site Details**

The subject site is located on the eastern side of Phillips Avenue and is known as Tasker Park. The site also forms part of Clunes Lane. The allotment has an area of 2992.8 square metres.

3A PHILLIPS AVENUE, CANTERBURY; SUBDIVISION OF LAND (CONT.)

Conclusion

The development application has been assessed pursuant to the provisions of Section 79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and all relevant development control plans, codes and policies. The application is recommended for approval subject to conditions of consent.

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Development Application DA-162/2012 be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:

GENERAL

1. The development being carried out in accordance with the plans, specifications and details prepared by David John Carey, marked Surveyors Reference: 203501-1, sheet 1 of 1 as received by Council on 8 May 2012, except where amended by conditions specified in this Notice.
2. Allotment 1 is to be used for the extension/widening of Clunes Lane and provision of a car park.
3. Allotment 2 is to be used as Public Open Space.

SUBDIVISION

4. The submission of one final plan of subdivision and five copies.

WE ALSO ADVISE

5. Our decision was made after consideration of the matters listed under Section 79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and matters listed in Council's various Codes and Policies.
6. If you are not satisfied with this determination, you may
 - 6.1. **Apply for** a review of a determination under Section 82A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. A request for review must be made and determined within 6 months of the date of the receipt of this Notice of Determination; or
 - 6.2. Appeal to the Land and Environment Court within 6 months after the date on which you receive this Notice of Determination, under Section 97 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

IHAP RECOMMENDATION

The Independent Hearing and Assessment Panel considered the application on 2 July 2012 and their recommendation is provided below.

THAT Development Application DA-162/2012 be **APPROVED in accordance** with the recommendation of the Director City Planning, subject to the following amendments:

1. A new Condition 4 as follows:
The existing trees within the proposed Lot I should be protected in any future redevelopment of Lot 1 (**including any car parking or road works**).
2. Renumber conditions 4, 5 and 6 as 5, 6 and 7.

30 July 2012

Mr Phil Tzavellas
14 Phillips Avenue
Canterbury NSW 2193

Dear Mr Tzavellas,

Notice of Panel Meeting — Sydney East Joint Regional Planning Panel

The **Sydney East Joint Regional Planning Panel** (the Regional Panel) will meet at 11.00am on Wednesday 15 August 2012 at Canterbury Council, 137 Beamish Street, Campsie to consider the following development applications that have been referred to the Panel for determination:

2012SYE026 — Canterbury - 47/2012 - Construction of a mixed use development comprising two levels of basement car parking, retail and commercial tenancies and 152 residential apartments - 1-9 Broadway Street, Punchbowl

2012SYE031 — Canterbury - DA-69/2012 - Demolition of existing structures and construction of a mixed use development containing 104 residential units and one commercial tenancy - 359 - 365 Beamish Street and 33 - 35 Unara Street, Campsie

2012SYE002 — Canterbury — 592/2011 - Demolition of existing structures and construction of three residential apartment buildings containing 254 dwellings and associated basement car park - 15 and 18 Charles Street, Canterbury

The meeting agenda and business paper will be available on the Regional Panels' website www.irbp.nsw.gov.au under the 'Development Register' section and Canterbury Council's website www.canterbury.nsw.gov.au from Wednesday 8 August 2012.

If you wish to speak at the panel meeting, you **must** register with the Panel Secretariat before 4.00 pm Monday 13 August 2012 by calling (02) 9228 2060 or email jrppenquiry@jrpp.nsw.gov.au

As the Regional Panel will have read all the submissions and documentation before the Panel meeting, you should focus your oral submission on the assessment report and its recommendation rather than re-stating the information in your written submission.

As a guide, individual submitters will have 3 minutes to speak, a speaker for a community organisation/group will have 10 minutes to present. The applicant,

3A PHILLIPS AVENUE, CANTERBURY; SUBDIVISION OF LAND
FILE NO: 699/3AD**Min. No. 205 RESOLVED** (Councillors Robson/Eisler)

THAT Development Application DA-162/2012 be **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions:

GENERAL

1. The development being carried out in accordance with the plans, specifications and details prepared by David John Carey, marked Surveyors Reference: 203501-1, sheet 1 of las received by Council on 8 May 2012, except where amended by conditions specified in this Notice.
- 2: Allotment 1 is to be used for the extension/widening of Clunes Lane and provision of a car park.
3. Allotment 2 is to be used as Public Open Space.
4. The existing trees within the proposed Lot 1 should be protected in any future redevelopment of Lot 1 (including any car parking or road works) .

SUBDIVISION

5. The submission of one final plan of subdivision and five copies.

WE ALSO ADVISE

6. Our decision was made after consideration of the matters listed under Section 79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and matters listed in Council's various Codes and Policies.
7. If you are not satisfied with this determination, you may:
 - 7.1. Apply for a review of a determination under Section 82A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. A request for review must be made and determined within 6 months of the date of the receipt of this Notice of Determination; or
 - 7.2. Appeal to the Land and Environment Court within 6 months after the date on which you receive this Notice of Determination, under Section 97 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

| FOR | AGAINST |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| The Mayor, Councillor Robson | |
| Deputy Mayor, Councillor Kritharas | . |
| Councillor Adler | |
| Councillor Eisler | |
| Councillor Hawatt | |
| Councillor Nam | |
| Councillor Saleh | |

including their consultant(s), will have a total of 15 minutes to present and address issues raised in public submissions. Any requests for extending time limits should be made to the Panel at the meeting and may be granted at the discretion of the Panel Chair.

If you have any further enquiries please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9228 2060.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Angela Kenna', written in a cursive style.

**Angela Kenna
Project Officer
Panel Secretariat**

**NOTICE OF PANEL MEETING
SYDNEY EAST JOINT REGIONAL PLANNING PANEL**

Phil Tzavellas attended this meeting on behalf of Canterbury resident/ratepayers to air their concerns re the lack of infrastructure during peak-hour traffic on Canterbury Road.

At the completion of the meeting, Mayor Brian Robson complimented Phil Tzavellas on his presentation, and added Council had no alternative but to approve the DAs on the orders of the O'Farrell Government.

Good afternoon, members of the Panel. I've provided you with photos that will clearly express the concerns of the proposed redevelopment of Charles Street, Canterbury, with multi-storey home units.

On the Aquatic Centre end of Charles Street, the photos show that the street is a single traffic lane both ways. Although Charles Street is approximately 200 metres in length, the photos prove one point beyond reasonable doubt, that workers prefer driving to public transport. It is worth noting that Charles Street joins Canterbury Road at the south-east end.

Canterbury Road at Beamish Street, Campsie, known as Dan's Corner, is approximately one kilometre from Canterbury Station, where there are traffic lights, and over this short distance there's a total of five sets of traffic lights. The third set of lights is situated at Wonga Street, and the fourth set is approximately 80 metres from the fourth set of lights at the corner of Fore Street, which is approximately 200 metres from the Canterbury Station set of lights. Take note that Charles Street is approximately 40 metres from the railway traffic lights.

From the City end of Canterbury Railway, we have a McDonald's restaurant on the corner of Griffiths Street, opposite the entry to New Canterbury Road. At this set of traffic lights, to the lights at Canterbury Station, which is approximately one kilometre, there are inclusively four sets of lights. From the New Canterbury/ Canterbury Road sets of lights, we have a set of lights at Crinan Street which abuts Canterbury/Hurlstone Park R.S.L. 30 metres away. This venue is regarded as one of Sydney's most popular entertainment, dining, indoor activities as well as regular giant-size raffles and as a TAB and gaming machines for members and their guests.

From the Cooks River end of Broughton Street, there are 103 home units, at number 10. Once again the photos show that the one lane both ways in Broughton Street is a replica of the driving conditions of Charles Street. From the Cooks River end of Broughton Street to Canterbury Road is approximately 200 metres. The following photo shows that both sides of John Street, which runs along the side of Canterbury Racecourse, is being fully utilised for parking, right up to King Street.

It appears Council continues to ignore best practice road infrastructure re traffic chaos worsening during morning and afternoon peak hours on Canterbury Road, more so between Burwood Road, Belmore, and New Canterbury Road, Hurlstone Park.

Canterbury Road runs two lanes in both directions, but frequently reverts to one lane from dawn to dusk due to regular bus services picking up and dropping off passengers. These services include the 444 and 445, which depart South Parade, Campsie, for Balmain Wharf via Beamish Street and Canterbury Road. The 478 terminates at Canterbury Station, whilst the 491 departs from the same bus stop for the Five Dock shopping centre. The 428 departs for Circular Quay from the corner of

Broughton Street and Canterbury Road, as does the 487 for Bankstown Hospital, whilst school specials depart between 7 a.m. and 9 a.m., and 3 p.m. to 4.30 p.m. In addition, the 491 departs from Jeffrey Street to its destination Hurstville via Canterbury Road.

Canterbury Town Centre will never return to its halcyon days whilst parking areas are at a minimum. And yet, with the limited half-hour parking on the shopping side of the Canterbury Town Centre, and one hour parking on the other side between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m., once again creates single lane traffic and more congestion. If that's not bad enough, you should see the domino effect on Canterbury Road and its tributaries when there are traffic accidents; it becomes the motorist's worst nightmare. The rail transport to the city during peak hours bears no good tidings. In fact, you would have a better chance of winning lotto than finding a vacant seat on what can only be described in the wanner months as a sweaty packed cattle train.

This photo has been taken from the Canterbury Road end of Charles Street. And the final photo was taken on the Canterbury Aquatic Centre side of the Tasker Park Bridge, in which Sydney Water has affixed a warning sign - "Danger - FLOOD ZONE." We would like an answer from the relevant Council officers. If Sydney Water regards the aforementioned bridge as a danger - flood zone are - how can they even entertain any type of development within 300 metres of the banks of Cooks River in the direction of Sydney Airport as the surrounding landscape drops in height alarmingly from the Tasker Park Bridge.